G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

~~191~~ 1899.

(G.7.)

File No. 51 of 1899

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

P 28-E

Serial Nos. 1-4

Part B for 1899.

SUBJECT.

Re-fund of excess Kandayam to Seshathatta in Pannagada.

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Indexed

KS

SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

REVENUE BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT. Regarding the refund of Rs 8-7-6 to one Lesha - bhatta of Annadana - pura Village, Pavagada Taluk being the excess Kandayam recovered from him for the year Devilambi

LAST REFERENCE Letter No. 275 dated 7th 14th Aug: 1899 from from D. C. Tumkur.

Rd 2 Sept 99
Ans 16/12/99

On 12th July 1898, one Appiah of Timmana village, Pavagada Taluk, submitted an application to the Amildar, in which he stated that Shambhoga Timmappa and his brother Ramappa of Annadana-napura collected twice the Kandayam for Devilambi (1897-98) on

on account of the Khate
of Seshabhatta viz:- one
Rs 16-14-. in March from
Seshabhatta and of one
Rs 16-14-. in April 1898
from his brother Annam
-bhatta, the enjoyer of
Seshabhatta's land Sur:
No. 18/3, and after
crediting both the
amounts to the Treasury,
effected certain Correc-
-tions in the Khate and
Kridi in respect of the
amount of Rs 16-14-.
Collected in March from
Seshabhatta. It is also
stated that out of this
Rs 16-14-, Rs 8/- was
entered against Ramap
-pa's own Khate land
and the same was shewn

under

under the head excess Collections and that for the above reasons the Subahdars should be properly punished after due investigation into the matter.

vide paper No 14

On a perusal of the entire records relating to the enquiry of the Case, the Amildar on 12th February 1899 stated as follows:— That in his deposition yesterday Appiah not only Corroborated what he stated in his application but also added that out of the amount of Rs. 16-14 first Collected and credited to Treasury about Rs 8 and odd were deducted from Seshabhatta's Khate

Khate and added the
same to Ramappa's Khate
and Rs 3-11-6 to his
(Appiah's) Khate land No 3
that Ramappa had
never paid the Kandayen
on account of his (Appiah's)
Khate land but the same
had been paid year
by year and also for
Heralambi by Mattikonda
Gimappa and Yeruvi
Chennappa both of
whom Confirmed this
assertion in their state-
-ments.

In his deposition
Shanbhog Timmappa
Stated that on 1st March
1898. a sum of Rs 16-14-
was first entered in
the Khirdi against Sesha
-bhatta's name and sub-
sequently the said amount
was

was corrected in red
ink into Rs 8-7-6: that
out of the remaining sum
of Rs 8-6-6 Rs 3, 11, 6
and Rs 4-11- was
shown in red ink as
petitioner Appayya's and
Shanbhag Ramappa's
Khate lands respectively.
that these corrections seemed
to have been effected
by Ramappa (now deceased)
during his (Immappa's)
absence on leave. He
further stated that on
29-6 April 1898 a
further sum of Rs 16-14-
was again collected
from Annambhatta,
brother of Seshabhatta
on account of Kandaya
for Nevilambi for Seshe
-bhattas Khate land which was
in Annambhattas enjoyment
previous

without referring to the
accounts to the effect
that a sum of Rs 8 and
odd was collected
from Seshabhatta towards
his Kandayam for the
said year Nevibeinabi
via Bracelet 1890 and
that this excess recovery
from Seshabhatta
by Rs. 8-7-6 has
to be refunded to him

The Amildar also
stated that on a reference
to the Khate and Kirdi
accounts it was
found evident that
both the Shanbhog
Timmappa and his
brother Ramappa had
tampered with the ac-
counts and that as
Ramappa was stated

to be the person that
Corrected the accounts
as stated by Sinnappa
No action could be taken
against him as he
is now dead. That
Sinnappa's statement
that he acted as dic-
tated by Ramappa
was untenable as he
was the responsible
and Bandaradar
Shanbhog and conse-
quently he was
fined Rs2/ on ac-
count of the above
irregularities and
his Conduct would be
watched for 6 months
and a report Submitted
to the Sub Division Officer

For

For the foregoing reasons the Auditor has submitted a refund bill in favor of Seshabhatta for Rs 8-7-6 being the excess amount of Kandayini collected from him for Kavilambi in account of his khata land at the Bindaluna village.

The Treasury Officer too has certified in the refund bill that this excess amount (Rs 8-7-6) has been included in Rs 24-14-1 and credited to Treasury on 18th March 1898.

It is not clear whether the amount to be refunded to Seshabhatta is Rs 8-7-6 as recommended by

by the Deputy Commr
or Rs 16-14- paid by
him in March 1858,
but from the statements
of Seshabhatta and
his brother Annambhatta
it is clear that the
amount to be refunded
is the latter. It is
also evident that either
the Shanbhog Timappa
or his brother Ramappa
eliminated about Rs
8 and odd from the
amount of Rs 16-14-
paid at first by
Seshabhatta and dis-
tributed the same
between Appiah's &
Ramappa's Khate and
similarly corrected the
Khate & Khirdi account.

The Shanbog admits that
he collected an excess

* In his statement dt 22-5-58 Annam-bhatta states that he had shewn in the Taluk Cutcherry to the Shanbhog & Patel, the receipt for having paid Rs 16-14- to Seshabhatta's Khate land in the Amadampura village for the year Hevilambi-
(vide paper No 7).

In his statement dt 26-12-58 Seshabhatta states that he had paid in the month of Feby or March 1858, Rs 16-14- being the amount of Kandayam for his Khate land in Amadampura village for Hevilambi to Acting Shanbhog Ramappa brother of Thimmappa, the Baraverdar Shanbhog & that he was not given a receipt therefor, which Ramappa stated, would be given to Annambhatta his brother. (vide paper No 12).

MV
3/1/59.

Kandagan of Rs 8-7-6
from Seshachalam
but the record seem to
show that the excess
Kandagan actually collected
was Rs 16-14-0 and the
reasons for this difference
are

(1) That an entry showing
the receipt on 1/3/98 of
Rs 16-14-0 was posted wrongly
altered to one showing the
receipt of Rs 8-7-6

(2) That the alteration
was resolved the
crediting of Rs 3-11-6 on
account of the Commandant's (Appeals)
Khata and that the Commandant
repudiates any knowledge
of such payment.

It has however been ascertained that
Seshachalam possesses no receipt
for the Rs 16-14-0 issued on 1/3/98

Please see for this
information and
reply for 8-7-6 which
we examined and
the cancelled receipt
issued to
16-14-0 on 19/12/97

Subject to drawl
20/12/98

Gl. No. 2073
-SEP 29 '899
From
EWAN'S OFFICE

K 431
1899-1900

Deputy Commissioner
Jessulmir District

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Rajputana, Gouble
& Asst Resits.

Dated 7th August 1899

Despatched 27th August 1899
September 1899.

Received

General No. 275
No.

Section
File No 51 of 1889
Serial No

Enclosure No. 1 & 2 in original file

Re Cemetery for Europeans
re Civil Station, reserved
Plots 8-9-6 to 11 &
Mr Chamberlain's letter
Pamphada Valuk

Mr Hall

R
29/9/99

Submitted with draft.
31

R 2/10

SK.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,
Dated 7th August 1899.

No: 275
Rec: 15 a Jonifile

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General and
Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith for sanction a bill for the refund of Rs 8-7-6 to one Seshabhatta in the Pavagada Taluk on the following grounds:-

2. The said Seshabhatta who is the occupant of Survey no: 18/3 of Amanadanapura in the Pavagada Taluk, instead of paying Rs 16-14 0 which is the Kandayam of the land for the year 1897-98, paid Rs 25-5-6 in 2 instalments (Rs 8-7-6 on 1st March 1898, and Rs 16-14 0 on 29th April 1898). This overpayment of Rs 8-7-6 has therefore to be refunded to him. Hence this bill.

3. The Vernacular records connected with the bill are submitted for reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
Venkatramaiya

C/S for Deputy Commissioner

Dated 8th Sept. 1899

To

The Deputy Commr.
Trincomalee District.

No 4515/H. 548.
Dated 21/24/8/99 from A.P.

His letter No 275-8
of 16/8 August 1899, Submitting for sanction a refund bill in favor of Seshabhat of Amadanapura Village, Paragada Tukuk, for Rs 8-7-6 being the excess Kandoyem recovered from him for the year Hevilambi.

With reference to the above, has the honor to observe that the records show that the excess Kandayem actually collected was Rs 16-14-

and

(The original entry in the Khudi & the Statement of Seshabhat)

n 17
No 510-1897
rial No 2

And to request to be informed why only a Sum of Rs 8-7-6 is proposed to be refunded.

Enclosures are herewith returned for reference and re-submission.

By Order.



Dr Secy

MV
20/12/95

REVENUE DEPT
Gl. No. 230
III. 12 1900
DEWAN

1900-1901.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur Dist.

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
General and Rev. Depts.

Dated 10
Despatched 10 } July 1900.
Received

General No. 37
No.

Enclosure No. 1 Bill + 1 Bill

His docket No: 4515 dated
Fl. 548
21st December 1899.

Explaining the excess Kandayam of Rs 8-7-6 proposed to be refunded to Seshabhatta of Anandanapura village, Tiptur taluk.

12.7.00

Refer to the
Hd Asstt Rev.

Put up
12.7.00

Subd with draft
20/7/00

No: 37.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Tumkur District.

Dated 10th July 1900.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir

With reference to your docket No: 4515
FC. 548
dated 21st December 1899, returning for explanation as to the exact amount of the refund bill in favor of Seshabhatta of the Pavagada Taluk, I have the honour to state that, the Amildar reports that, out of the Rs 16-14-0 paid by Seshabhatta on the 1st March 1898, Rs 3-11-6 and 4-11-0 were credited to the Khates of one Appayya and Ramappa, respectively, and the balance of Rs 8-7-6, towards Seshabhatta's arrear. Again on the 29th April 1898, one Narsambhatta paid Rs 16-14-0 on behalf of Seshabhatta and this sum was also credited towards Seshabhatta's account. This left an excess of Rs 8-7-6 in favor of Seshabhatta.

2. The enclosures of your docket are, herewith, resubmitted for reference and favour of return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant.

M. Narasimha Rao
Deputy Commissioner.

Draft for abt
Progo. of the Govt. of Mysre

~~Draft~~
~~1096~~

G.7
51 of 99.
No 4

Read:-

Correspondence ending with letter no. 37 dated 10 July 1900, from the Dy Commr. Tumkur Distt with the explanation called for resubmitting the refund bill in favor of one Seshabhatta for Rs 8.7.6 being the amount of excess recovery of Kandayam from the said individual for 1897/98 on account of S. No. 18/3 of Amadana pura village, Pavagada Taluk.

Order thereon:-

Sanctioned.

No 664-5
H 63 D/23/7/00

cc: H.V.P.

N
20/7/00
For Secy
to Govt

To
The Dy. Commr. Tumkur Dt.
retg. V. papers.
Comptroller with bill